

REMARKS

Original Claims 1-14 haven been cancelled. New Claims 15-28 have been added. Applicant submits that no new matter has been added in the Claims. In view of the above, Applicant requests the Examiner to withdraw the rejections.

DRAWINGS

Examiner has objected to the drawing under 37 C.F.R. 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "34" and "36" have both been used to designate the same wire.

Applicant submits that drawings have been corrected and reference numeral 36 now indicates the wire from the sensor 24 to the ECU.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1st paragraph and 2nd paragraph

Applicant submits that Original Claims 1-14 have been cancelled. Therefore, the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 112 1st and 2nd paragraphs are rendered moot. Therefore, the Applicant request the Examiner to withdraw the rejection

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) and 103(a)

Applicant submits that Original Claims 1-14 have been cancelled. Accordingly, rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) and 103(a) is rendered moot.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action, Applicants submit that only allowable claims remain pending, and as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (734) 302-6000.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 02-20-2003

By: 
Sujatha Subramaniam
Reg. No. 48,739
Attorney for Applicant

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
P.O. Box 10395
Chicago, IL 60610
(734) 302-6000